

# ENERGY AND MOMENTUM COEFFICIENTS FOR WIDE COMPOUND CHANNELS

Prabir K. Mohanty<sup>1</sup>, Saine S. Dash<sup>2</sup>, Kishanjit K. Khatua<sup>3</sup>  
and Kanhu C. Patra<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph. D candidate, <sup>2</sup>Master Student <sup>3</sup>Associate Professor and <sup>4</sup>Professor,  
Department of Civil Engineering, N.I.T. Rourkela, India.

## Abstract

Experiments were conducted in a straight smooth compound trapezoidal main channel flanked by two symmetrical floodplains having width ratio value  $\approx 12$ . The point velocities were measured throughout the compound cross section and isovel patterns were analyzed to determine the values of kinetic energy coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) and momentum coefficient ( $\beta$ ) under varying flow conditions of Froude no. between 0.277 to 0.444 and relative depth between 0.11 to 0.43. The values obtained for  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are 2.09 and 1.39 respectively which are significantly higher than their respective values reported by previous researchers for compound cross sections of different size and shape. New relations are suggested to determine approximate values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  with R2 values more than 0.97. It is hoped that the present findings will provide new guidelines for design and research in trapezoidal compound channels

*Keywords: Compound Channel, Velocity Distribution, Kinetic Energy Coefficient, Momentum Coefficient..*

## 1 Introduction

Accurate knowledge of velocity distribution in channels is very important for flood studies, afflux studies for bridge and culvert design [1] and estimation of stage discharge curve in natural channels. Often for simplicity in river engineering practice the velocity is considered uniform and analysis is carried out considering energy or momentum approach. But any deviation from such theoretical uniformity are usually accounted for by introduction of two coefficients, namely Kinetic energy correction coefficient  $\alpha$  {also termed as Coriolis Coefficient [2] and momentum coefficient ( $\beta$ ) {also termed as Boussinesq coefficient [3]. The former is applied when energy principle is adopted for computation while the later is introduced in case of momentum approach for computation. Also all the 1-D river engineering packages such as HEC-RAS etc use the values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  for dealing with 3-D influences and channel shape for computation of water surface profile and other design and estimation purpose. When the velocity distribution in a channel section in lateral and vertical direction are considered uniform then both  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are taken as unity. However in view of wide variations in velocity distributions reported throughout literature which in turn has been known to be influenced by a host of factors e.g. cross sectional shape & complexity, alignment, depth of flow, channel slope and roughness etc. [4,5], it's really important to determine the numerical values of both coefficients with sufficient accuracy. Ignoring the effect of such variations often lead to considerable error in predicting flow behavior, stage discharge prediction, afflux studies and other related analysis in case of both natural and artificial channels. Also there is a tendency to include some predefined values as reported in literature for kinetic energy correction coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) and momentum correction coefficient ( $\beta$ ) by field engineers. Although in channels of simple geometry such approach works well but in channels of compound cross sections where main channel carrying deeper and faster flow is flanked by one or two shallow berms or flood plains carrying shallow and slower flow, detailed analysis to determine exact numerical values is warranted to minimize design errors.

## THEORY AND BACKGROUND

Due to scarce data reported in literature about the velocity distributions in compound channels it becomes imperative that more research be undertaken in this area to augment the existing scant database in this field. Generally summing up the kinetic energy flux passing through the elementary sections in a channel section and equating it to the energy flux passing through the entire section,  $\alpha$  is computed as

$$\alpha = \frac{\int v^3 \Delta A}{V^3 A} = \frac{\sum v^3 \Delta A}{V^3 A} \quad (1)$$

And similarly considering momentum flux for passing fluid in the channel section  $\beta$  can be computed as

$$\beta = \frac{\int v^2 \Delta A}{V^2 A} = \frac{\sum v^2 \Delta A}{V^2 A} \quad (2)$$

Where  $v$  is the point velocity measured in an elemental area  $\Delta A$  of the whole cross sectional area  $A$  through which flow takes place and  $V$  is the cross sectional mean velocity found by dividing  $\sum v \Delta A$  with  $A$ .

In practice different methods are suggested for computation of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  viz. Approximate method [6]; Graphical method [7]; Theoretical method [8]. For details interested reader is referred to [4] and [8].

Previously many studies were undertaken to determine both kinetic energy correction coefficient and momentum energy correction coefficient for channels of simple geometrical shapes and of single section. Useful references are available in [4], [9], [8] and [7] etc. However studies relating to channels of compound cross sections are relatively fewer in comparison to simple channels. Significant research contributions are those due to [10], [11], [12], [13] and [14]. [10] based on limited research at that point of time recommended average value of  $\alpha$  as 1.75 and that of  $\beta$  as 1.25 for over flooded river valleys or channels flanked by floodplains. Due to possibility of variation in main channel and floodplain combination regarding their shape, position, symmetry and other factors like roughness etc. the variations in values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are also reported. [14] based on their experimental results for a symmetrical rectangular compound channel of width ratio  $\{\alpha\}=3.046$  and results of experiments conducted by [11, 12] for an asymmetrical channel of width ratio  $\{\alpha\}=3.60$  reported average values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  as 1.156 and 1.056 respectively. In both cases the main channels were of rectangular section. Similarly [13] conducted a series of experiments on rectangular compound channel with width ratio 3.35 and reported values of  $\alpha$  in range of 1.023-1.063 &  $\beta$  in range of 1.005-1.034 under various flow and geometrical conditions.

Due to the presence of floodplains excessive momentum transfer usually takes place between deep main channel and shallow floodplains. This has been well reported in literature [15], [16], [17], [18] and [19] etc. On account of this momentum transfer the lateral distribution of velocity becomes non uniform in addition to natural non uniform velocity distribution of flow between channel bed and free surface in vertical direction. This leads to different kinetic and momentum correction coefficients for compound channels as compared to single channels. Although FCF channels experiments were conducted for width ratio  $\{\alpha\}=6.67$  but no systematic estimation of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are reported in literature

to the best knowledge of authors. Taking into context the relevance of these aforementioned coefficients ( $\alpha$  &  $\beta$ ) in river engineering and to fill existing gaps in our knowledge base in this emerging field of compound channels, we report here the outcome of experimentations conducted in Fluid mechanics and Hydraulics Laboratory of NIT, Rourkela, India the details of which are enumerated in next section.

## EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND METHODS

For carrying out research in compound channels, experimental setup was built in Fluid mechanics and Hydraulics Laboratory of NIT, Rourkela. A compound channel having trapezoidal main channel (bottom width 0.33m, depth 0.065m and side slope 1:1) and wide symmetrical rectangular floodplains having total width 3.95m (Width ratio,  $\alpha \approx 12$ , Pl. see Fig.1) was built inside a steel tilting flume of around 15m length. The bed and wall of channel was made with Perspex sheet (6 to 10 mm thick and having Manning's  $n$  value=0.01). Water was supplied to the flume from an underground sump via an overhead tank by centrifugal pump (15 hp) and recirculated to the sump after flowing through the compound channel and a downstream volumetric tank fitted with closure valves for calibration purpose. Water entered the channel bell mouth section via an upstream rectangular notch. An adjustable vertical gate along with flow straighteners were provided in upstream section sufficiently ahead of rectangular notch to reduce turbulence and velocity of approach in the flow near the notch. At the downstream end another adjustable tail gate is provided to control the flow depth and maintain a quasi uniform flow in the channel. A movable bridge was provided across the flume for both spanwise and streamwise movements. Fig.2 (a-d) shows photos of some distinct components of experimental set up.

The dimensions of the compound channel were adopted keeping in view the larger research goals of velocity and boundary shear distribution in wide compound channels (Width ratio  $\alpha \approx 12$  & aspect ratio =5 as seen in natural channels, where width ratio is the ratio of total width of channel to width of main channel and aspect ratio is the ratio of width of main channel to depth of main channel). Point velocities were measured along verticals spread across the main channel and flood plain so as to cover the width of entire half cross section. Also at a no. of horizontal layers in each vertical, point velocities were taken. Due to symmetry measurements were only made on one half of the span from centre of the main channel to the edge of floodplain. The lateral spacing of grid points over which measurements were taken was kept 4cm inside the main channel and 8cm on the flood plain. Velocity measurements were taken by pitot static tube (outside diameter 4.77mm) and two piezometers fitted inside a transparent fiber block fixed to a wooden board and hung vertically at the edge of flume the ends of which were open to atmosphere at one end and connected to total pressure hole and static hole of pitot tube by long transparent PVC tubes at other ends. Steady uniform discharge was maintained in each run of the experiment and altogether six runs were conducted for overbank flow with relative depth  $\beta$

varying between 0.109-0.434. where relative depth is the ratio of depth of flow over floodplain to the total flow depth. The discharge varied between 13.543lit/s to 106.181lit/s and Froude's no. was kept between 0.277-0.444 i.e. a subcritical flow condition all throughout the test runs.



Fig.1: Definition sketch of compound cross section for overbank flow.(All dimensions are in cm)

Details of experimental runs are given in Table.1. After obtaining the point velocities at various grid points representing the whole compound flow cross section velocity contours were drawn for all six flow cases(a sample contour diagram for overbank depth 7.5cm is given in Fig.3). Using a suitable graphic package the areas between successive isovels were planimetered digitally and summed up to find total area of flow cross section. Any error from true geometric area was distributed among all slices in a weighted average method. Then computations were performed to obtain values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  for individual runs.

Table.1 :Experimental details of compound channel

Sl.No.	Q in lit/sec	Overall depth (H)in cm	Relative depth( $\beta$ )	$\alpha$	$\beta$
1	13.543	7.3	0.109589041	2.092723961	1.385219155
2	17.482	7.5	0.133333333	1.965256023	1.32089785
3	36.396	8.8	0.261363636	1.261415481	1.082895399
4	53.546	10.1	0.356435644	1.045017162	1.015842773
5	60.282	10.5	0.380952381	1.038031224	1.014550085
6	106.181	11.5	0.434782609	1.036523387	1.012695217



Fig2.(a-d),clockwise starting top left: (a)U/S rectangular notch entrance bell mouth section,,(b)Series of pitot static tube fitted to stand with transparent pipes & point gauge,(c) Set of Piezometers fitted to wooden board to record pressure with spirit level, digital clock etc.&(d)D/S tail gate and volumetric tank




Fig.3: Velocity contour (isovel) diagram for Overbank depth 7.5cm drawn with point velocities 'v' normalized by sectional mean velocity V.

### Results and discussion

The values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  tabulated in Table 1 reveal some interesting features only characteristic of a compound channel. It can be clearly seen that both the kinetic energy and momentum coefficients reach high values with low overbank flow or at small relative depth ( $\beta$ ). At low overbank depths due to large widths of flood plain attached to a comparably small trapezoidal main channel, the slow moving flow in floodplains interact with fast moving main channel flow intensely and considerable momentum exchange takes place giving rise to large non uniformity in lateral velocity distribution. Such intense exchange of momentum between faster & deeper main channel flow and slower & shallower floodplain flow at low relative depth in case of a compound channel has been well researched and documented in literature in past ([20],[21],[22]and [23]). As the discharge increased with corresponding rise in relative depth value the intensity of interaction diminishes considerably.




Fig.4. Variation of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  with Relative depth.

However due to high width ratio ( $\alpha$ ) value of nearly 12 in our experimental runs even at relative depth value of 0.434 the flood plain flow interacts with main channel flow to a far greater extent than in case of simple channels resulting in a comparably higher value for both  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$ .

For a comparison purpose when  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  were computed as explained earlier but without the contributions from floodplains for planimetry and summing the intercepted areas between the successive isovels, their values in each case decreased substantially from their earlier or originally computed values. This is illustrated in Table.2. In Table.2 the modified  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are shown with a prime. This adequately corroborates the fact that due to flood plains the main channel flow always gets affected and velocity distribution in the channel usually gets distorted resulting in more non uniformity than in case of simple cross sections. Another important feature which also emerged from these experimentation is that in comparison to experimental results obtained by other researchers like [11],[13] and [15],  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  values in present case are much higher than respective values reported by them for rectangular compound sections having smaller width ratio  $\alpha$  (in the range of 3-4). It can be due to a much larger area of floodplains ( $\alpha \approx 12$ ) having a severe interaction on main channel in the present case. Since in ideal case both  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are considered unity, so their deviation from ideal value in present case were examined (Pl. see Fig.4) and on regression analysis it is found that

$$\alpha - 1 = 2.898(\beta - 1) \quad (3)$$



It is also revealed from Fig.4 that  $\alpha$  is more sensitive than  $\beta$ , to change in velocity values which in turn varies with relative depth( $\beta$ ) also evident from Eqn.(1) & (2).

Table 2: Details of kinetic energy and momentum coefficients of compound channel by different approaches

Sl. No	Relative depth( $\beta$ )	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\epsilon$	$\alpha'$	$\beta'$	$\epsilon'$
1	0.109589041	2.09272396	1.38521915	0.83182817	1.05121	1.017278	0.194345
2	0.133333333	1.96525602	1.32089785	0.79294188	1.03356	1.011412	0.149741
3	0.261363636	1.26141548	1.08289539	0.52590871	1.02783	1.009539	0.109917
4	0.356435644	1.04501716	1.01584277	0.17355006	1.02467	1.008512	0.118024
5	0.380952381	1.03803122	1.01455008	0.15659564	1.02223	1.007684	0.069691
6	0.434782609	1.03652338	1.01269521	0.13800543	1.00929	1.003168	0.080903

In addition to this  $\alpha$  is related to  $\beta$  by the equation following a straight line relation as given below.

$$\alpha = 2.898\beta - 1.894 \quad (4)$$

In both the above regression analyses coefficient of determination  $R^2$  value was 0.998.

$\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are also known to be related to a different parameter  $\epsilon$  [6] where  $\epsilon = \frac{v_m}{V} - 1$  where  $v_m$  is maximum velocity in the flow cross section and  $V$  is cross sectional mean velocity. For an approximate value both  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  are usually found by the following relations[6]

$$\alpha = 1 + 3\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon^3 \quad (5)$$

$$\beta = 1 + \epsilon^2 \quad (6)$$

These expressions are usually found to apply to cases of wide rough channels of simple sections assuming logarithmic distribution of velocity in the channel. Since in the present case only smooth channel compound section having relatively very large floodplains was used, new expressions are seemed to apply on basis of regression analysis as given below.

$$\alpha = 1 - 0.4\epsilon^2 + 2.36\epsilon^3 \quad (7)$$

$$\beta = 1 + 0.54 \epsilon^2 \quad (8)$$

The  $R^2$  values in case of Equation (7)&(8) are found to be 0.99 and 0.97 respectively. However if revised value of  $\varepsilon$  is taken as  $\varepsilon'$  for the compound section without flood plains and a relationship was derived to calculate approximate value of  $\alpha'$  &  $\beta'$  then following relations Eqn(9( and (10) in similar form as Eqn.(5) and (6) respectively are found.

$$\alpha' = 1 + 2.14\varepsilon'^2 - 5.27\varepsilon'^2 \quad (9)$$

$$\beta' = 1 + 0.33\varepsilon'^2 \quad (10)$$

## Conclusions

The present study aims at supplementing the scarce data base for design values of kinetic energy coefficient( $\alpha$ ) and momentum coefficient( $\beta$ ) that are applicable for a smooth straight trapezoidal main channel flanked with two smooth wide symmetric floodplains on the basis of experiments conducted in Hydraulics & Fluid mechanics laboratory of National Institute of Technology, Rourkela. Several pertinent points of specific interest to researchers and designers in the field of river hydraulics emerged as enumerated below.

- (a) The velocity distribution is excessively non uniform in lateral or span wise direction in case of a compound channel in comparison with regular single channel sections as evident from high values for both kinetic energy coefficient and momentum coefficient found in present case (Table.1)
- (b) In case of low overbank depth or small relative depth values the slow moving liquid in wide floodplain area (width ratio $\approx$ 12) has much greater impact on faster moving liquid in main channel, thereby causing the velocity distribution in span wise direction intensely nonuniform. The  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  values are much higher than what has been reported for compound channels of smaller width ratio earlier ([11,12], [13],[14]).
- (c) As the relative depth goes on increasing the values of  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  gradually reduce (Fig.3) but still are higher than those reported earlier for lesser wide compound channels at nearly same relative depth.
- (d) The formulas to find approximate values for  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  suggested previously [4] seem to fail in present case. In their place new relations (Eqn.7 & 8) are given with coefficient of determination  $R^2$  value of 0.99 and 0.97 respectively.

In view of the above findings it is suggested to design engineers in the field of river hydraulics to incorporate appropriate values for  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  while analyzing the flow phenomena in energy or momentum approach respectively. Further similar

study must be carried out by researchers for rough compound sections with floodplains of various widths to investigate the influence of roughness in determination of both coefficients.

### **Notations used**

The following symbols are used in this paper.

$\alpha$  = Kinetic energy coefficient

$\beta$  = Momentum coefficient

$\alpha'$  = Modified kinetic energy coefficient

$\beta'$  = Modified momentum coefficient

$\alpha$  = Width ratio

$\beta$  = Relative depth

$\varepsilon$  = Non-dimensional parameter

$\varepsilon'$  = Revised non-dimensional parameter

Q = Discharge

$v_m$  = Maximum cross-sectional velocity

V = Cross-sectional mean velocity

### **Acknowledgement**

The authors wish to acknowledge thankfully the support received by the third author from DST India, under grant no. SR/S3/MERC/066/2008 for conducting experimental research work on compound channels.

### **References**

- [1] Knight(2004) A Review of Current Knowledge on Bridge Afflux R&D Project Record W5A-061/PR1
- [2] Coriolis, G. On the backwater-curve equation and the corrections to be introduced to account for the difference of the velocities at different points on the same cross section, vol. 11, ser. 1, pp. 314-335, 1836.
- [3] Boussinesq, J: (On the theory of flowing waters) Paris, 1877.
- [4] Chow VT (1959). Open-Channel Hydraulics. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [5] French RH (1987). Open-Channel Hydraulics. McGraw-Hill, Singapore. 2nd edition.
- [6] Rehbock, TH: The determination of the position of the energy line in flowing water with the aid of velocity-head adjustment), Der Bauingenieur, Berlin, vol. 3, no. 15, pp. 453-455, Aug. 15, 1912.
- [7] O' Brien, M.P. and Johnson, J. W. : "Velocity Head Connections for Hydraulic Flows". Engineering News Record. Vol. 113, No. 7, pp. 214 - 216, Aug. 16, 1934
- [8] Strauss.V. "The Kinetic Energy Correction Factor and the Momentum Correction Factor in Open Channels". Proceedings of Twelfth Congress of I.A.H.R., Vol.1, Sept 1967,pp.314-323.
- [9] Henderson F.M. Open Channel Flow, MacMillan Publishing Company, 1966.

- [10] Kolupaila S (1956). Methods of determination of the kinetic energy factor. The Port Engineer, Calcutta, India 5: 12-18.
- [11] Blalock MA, Sturm TW (1981). Minimum specific energy in compound open channel. ASCE Journal of the Hydraulics Division 107: 699-717.
- [12] Blalock MA, Sturm TW (1983). Closure for "Minimum specific energy in compound open channel". ASCE J. Hydraulics Div. 109: 483-486.
- [13] Al-Khatib & Gogus (1999) Momentum and Kinetic Energy Coefficients in Symmetrical Rectangular Compound Cross Section Flumes. Tr. J. of Engineering and Environmental Science 23 (1999), 187-197.
- [14] Seckin (2009) Experimental investigation of kinetic energy and momentum correction coefficients in open channels. Scientific Research and Essay Vol. 4 (5) pp. 473-478, April, 2009 Available online at <http://www.academicjournals.org/SRE> ISSN 1992-2248 © 2009 Academic Journals.
- [15] Sellin, R.H.J. 1964. A Laboratory Investigation into the Interaction Between the Flow in the Channel of a River and that over its Floodplain. Houllie Blanche, Grenoble 7, p. 793-802.
- [16] Khatua, K.K., Patra, K.C., Mohanty, P.K. and Sahu, M., Selection of interface for discharge prediction in a compound channel flow, Int. J. Sus. Dev. Plann. © 2012 WIT Press, [www.witpress.com](http://www.witpress.com), ISSN: 1743-7601 (in press), ISSN: 1743-761X (online), <http://journals.witpress.com>
- [17] Knight DW, Demetriou JD. Floodplain and main channel flow interaction. J Hydraul Eng, ASCE 1983;109(8):1073-92.
- [18] Knight, D.W., Shiono, K., & Pirt, J., 1989, Prediction of depth mean velocity and discharge in natural rivers with overbank flow, Proc. Int. Conf. on Hydraulic and Environmental Modelling of Coastal, Estuarine and River Waters, (Ed. R. A. Falconer et al.), University of Bradford, Gower Technical Press, Paper 38, 419-428.
- [19] Shiono K, Knight DW. Turbulent open channel flows with variable depth across the channel. J Fluid Mech 1991;222:617-46.
- [20] Bousmar, D. & Zech, Y., 1999, Momentum transfer for practical flow computation in compound channels, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 125, No.7, July, 696-706.
- [21] Khatua, K.K., Patra, K.C. & Mohanty, P.K., Stage-Discharge prediction for straight and smooth compound channels with wide floodplains. J. Hydr. Eng., ASCE, , 2012 J. Hydraul. Eng. 138, 93 (2012);
- [22]. Khatua, K.K., Patra, K.C. & Mohanty, P.K., Stage-Discharge prediction for straight and smooth compound channels with wide floodplains. J. Hydr. Eng., ASCE, , 2012 J. Hydraul. Eng. 138, 93 (2012);
- [23] Anderson, M.G., Walling, D.E.S., & Bates, P.D., 1996, Floodplain Processes, J. Wiley, 1-658.