# Optimization under Generalized Equation Constraints in Asplund Spaces

### Seminar by

#### Dr. Suvendu Ranjan Pattanaik

Department of Mathematics and Applications National Institute of Technology, Rourkela Rourkela, Orissa, INDIA



#### Outline of the Talk

- Optimization under Generalized Equation Constraints in Asplund Spaces
- 2  $F_2$  strictly differentiable and  $F_1$  is continuous
- $\bigcirc$   $F_2$  is strictly differentiable and  $F_1$  are strictly Lipschitz continuous
  - 4 F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>1</sub> are strictly Lipschitz continuous
- 5  $F_2$  and  $F_1$  are strictly differentiable



# Optimization under Generalized Equation Constraints in Asplund Spaces

 $\min_{x,y} f(x,y) \text{ subject to } 0 \in F_1(x,y) + Q(F_2(x,y)), \quad (x,y) \in \Omega,$ where  $F_1 : X \times Y \to W$ ,  $F_2 : X \times Y \to Z$ ,  $Q : Z \rightrightarrows W$ ,  $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ and  $\Omega \subset X \times Y$ 



#### Normal Cone

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty subset of the Asplund space X and let  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ . Then the Frechet normal cone to  $\Omega$  at  $\bar{x}$  which is denoted as  $\hat{N}(\bar{x}, \Omega)$  and is given as

$$\hat{N}(\bar{x},\Omega) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \limsup_{x \in \Omega, x \to \bar{x}} \frac{\langle x^*, x - \bar{x} \rangle}{\|u - x\|} \le 0\}.$$
$$N(\bar{x},\Omega) = \limsup_{x \to \bar{x}} \hat{N}(x,\Omega).$$

 $N(\bar{x},\Omega) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \exists x_n \xrightarrow{\Omega} \bar{x}, x_n^* \xrightarrow{w^*} x^*, x_n^* \in \hat{N}(x_n,\Omega)\}$ 



#### Differentiability

# $f: X \to Y$ is Fréchet differentiable at $\bar{x}$ if there is a linear operator $\nabla f(\bar{x}): X \to Y$ , called the Fréchet derivative of f at $\bar{x}$ , such that

$$\lim_{x\to \bar{x}} \frac{f(x) - f(\bar{x}) - \nabla f(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x})}{\parallel x - \bar{x} \parallel} = 0.$$

#### **Strictly Differentiable**

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x},u\to\bar{x}}\frac{f(x)-f(u)-\nabla f(\bar{x})(x-u)}{\parallel x-u\parallel}=0.$$



#### Co-derivative

Given  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , we define the coderivative of F at (x,y) as a multifunction  $\hat{D}^*F(x, y) : Y^* \rightrightarrows X^*$  with the values

$$\hat{D}^*F(x,y)(y^*) = \{x^* \in X^* | (x^*, -y^*) \in \hat{N}((x,y), gphF)\}.$$

The normal coderivative of F at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in gphF$  is a multifunction  $D_N^*F(x, y) : Y^* \rightrightarrows X^*$  defined by

 $D_N^*F(\bar{x},\bar{y})(\bar{y}^*) = \limsup_{\substack{(x,y) \to (\bar{x},\bar{y}) \\ y^* \stackrel{\text{with}}{\to} \bar{y}^*}} \hat{D}^*F(x,y)(y^*).$ 

The mixed coderivative of F at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in gphF$  is a multifunction  $D^*_M F(x, y) : Y^* \rightrightarrows X^*$  defined by

 $D^*_M F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(\bar{y}^*) = \limsup_{\substack{(x, y) \to (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \\ y^* \to \bar{y}^*}} \hat{D}^* F(x, y)(y^*).$ 

# Sequential Normal Compactness and Partial Sequential Normal Compactness

Let  $\Omega \subset X \times Y$  where X and Y are Asplund spaces. The set  $\Omega$  is said to be sequentially normally compact (SNC) at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \Omega$  if for any sequence  $(x_k, y_k, x_k^*, y_k^*) \in \Omega \times X^* \times Y^*$  satisfying  $(x_k, y_k) \xrightarrow{\Omega} (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,

$$(x_k^*,y_k^*)\in \hat{N}((x_k,y_k),\Omega)$$

and  $(x_k^*, y_k^*) \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$  then one has  $||(x_k^*, y_k^*)|| \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .  $\Omega$  is said to be partially sequentially normally compact (PSNC) if for any sequence  $(x_k, y_k) \xrightarrow{\Omega} (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  satisfying (1) one has the implication  $x_k^* \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ ,  $||y_k^*|| \to 0$ implies that  $||x_k^*|| \to 0$ , as  $k \to \infty$ .



(1)

 $F_2$  strictly differentiable and  $F_1$  is continuous Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be a local optimal solution to the problem(P). Assume that f is locally Lipschitz continuous around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with modulus  $I_f$ , that the sets  $\Omega$ and gphQ are closed sets. Also assume following conditions on  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ .

- (i)  $F_2$  is strictly differentiable at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ .
- (ii)  $F_1$  is continuous around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and either PSNC at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  or  $\Omega$  is SNC at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ .
- Let us define the mapping,  $\Psi: Z \times W \rightrightarrows X \times Y$  as

 $\Psi(v_1, v_2) = \{(x, y) \in \Omega | (v_1 + F_2(x, y), v_2 - F_1(x, y)) \in gphQ\},\$ 

which is calm at  $(0, 0, \bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with modulus *I*. Assume further that the constraint qualification(CQ),

 $\{D^*_M F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(0)\} \cap \{-N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega)\} = \{0\}$ 

#### holds.

Suvendu Ranjan Pattanaik (NIT Rourkela) Optimization under Generalized Equation Cor



8 / 18

 $\begin{aligned} F_{2} \text{ strictly differentiable and } F_{1} \text{ is continuous} \\ \text{Then there exists } (z^{*}, w^{*}) \in Z^{*} \times W^{*} \text{ with } \parallel (z^{*}, w^{*}) \parallel \leq I_{f}.I \text{ and} \\ (-z^{*}, -w^{*}) \in N((F_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})), gphQ) \text{ satisfying} \\ 0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + D_{N}^{*}F_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(w^{*}) - \nabla F_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{*}(z^{*}) + N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega) \\ \text{which is equivalent to} \\ 0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + D_{N}^{*}F_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(w^{*}) + \nabla F_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{*}D_{N}^{*}Q(F_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))(w^{*}) \\ &+ N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega). \end{aligned}$ 



## Strictly Lipschitz function

Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a single-valued mapping between Banach spaces. Assume that f is Lipschitzian at  $\bar{x}$ . Then f is called as strictly Lipschitzian at  $\bar{x}$  if there is a neighborhood V of the origin in X such that the sequence

$$y_k = rac{f(x_k + t_k v) - f(x_k)}{t_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

contains a norm convergent subsequence whenever  $v \in V, x_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$  and  $t_k \downarrow 0$ .



 $F_2$  is strictly differentiable and  $F_1$  are strictly Lipschitz continuous Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be a local optimal solution to the problem(P), where  $F_1, F_2$  and Q are mapping between Asplund spaces. Assume that f is locally Lipschitz continuous around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , that the sets  $\Omega$  and gph Q are closed sets, and that Q is SNC at  $(F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))$ . Also assume that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are strictly Lipschitz continuous and strictly differentiable at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , respectively, and that relations  $(x^*, y^*) \in N_{gphQ}(F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))$  and

 $(0,0) \in [\nabla F_2(\bar{x},\bar{y})^*(x^*) + \partial \langle y^*, -F_1 \rangle (\bar{x},\bar{y}) + N((\bar{x},\bar{y}),\Omega)],$ 

holds only for  $x^* = y^* = 0$ .



 $F_2$  strictly differentiable and  $F_1$  is strictly Lipschitz continuous Then there is  $(z^*, w^*) \in -N(-F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), gphQ)$  such that the necessary optimality condition

 $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x},\bar{y}) - \nabla F_2(\bar{x},\bar{y})^*(z^*) + \partial \langle w^*,F_1 \rangle(\bar{x},\bar{y}) + N((\bar{x},\bar{y}),\Omega),$ 

which is equivalently,

 $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \partial \langle w^*, F_1 \rangle (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \nabla F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^* D_N^* Q(F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))(w^*) + N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega)$ 

is satisfied.



 $F_2$  and  $F_1$  are strictly Lipschitz continuous Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be a local optimal solution to the problem(P). Assume that f is Lipschitz around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , and that sets  $\Omega$  and gphQ are closed sets. Let us consider  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are strictly Lipschitz at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . Then there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in N(H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), gphQ)$  such that

 $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \partial \langle x^*, F_2 \rangle(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \partial \langle y^*, -F_1 \rangle(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + N(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega \rangle,$ 

under the assumptions :

(i) If x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup> ∈ U[∂⟨(x<sup>\*</sup>, y<sup>\*</sup>), -F⟩(x̄, ȳ)|(x<sup>\*</sup>, y<sup>\*</sup>) ∈ N(-F(x̄, ȳ), gphQ)], x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> ∈ N((x̄, ȳ), Ω), and x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup> + x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> = 0, then it implies that x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup> = x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> = 0.
(ii) N(-F(x̄, ȳ), gphQ) ∩ kerD<sub>N</sub><sup>\*</sup>(-F(x̄, ȳ)) = {0}.
(iii) Ω is SNC is at (x̄, ȳ), either (-F) is PSNC at (x̄, ȳ) and gphQ is SNC at ((x̄, ȳ), -F(x̄, ȳ)), or (-F) is SNC at (x̄, ȳ).



 $F_2$  and  $F_1$  are strictly Lipschitz continuous  $F_1, F_2$  are strictly lipschitz continuous function. Then there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in N((F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})), gphQ)$  such that

 $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \partial \langle x^*, F_2 \rangle (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \partial \langle y^*, -F_1 \rangle (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega),$ 

holds, under the following qualification condition(CQ), For any  $(x^*, y^*) \in N((F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})), gphQ)$ , if  $(0,0) \in \partial \langle (x^*, y^*), (F_2, -F_1) \rangle (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + N((\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \Omega)$ , then it implies that  $x^* = y^* = 0$ .



#### $F_2$ and $F_1$ are strictly differentiable

Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be a local solution of problem(P) and assume that gphQ is closed set and that the constraint qualification(CQ)

 $\begin{aligned} (\nabla F_2(\bar{x},\bar{y})^T,-\nabla F_1(\bar{x},\bar{y})^T)(x,y)^T \in &-N((\bar{x},\bar{y}),\Omega), \\ (x,y) \in &N_{gphQ}(F_2(\bar{x},\bar{y}),-F_1((\bar{x},\bar{y}))), \end{aligned}$ 

implies (x, y) = (0, 0), holds true. Then there exists  $\xi \in \partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta \in N_{\Omega}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and a pair  $(z, w) \in N_{ghpQ}(F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), -F_1((\bar{x}, \bar{y})))$  such that

 $0 = \xi + \nabla F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^T(z) - \nabla F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^T(w) + \eta.$ 



#### Bibliography

- Borwein, J M, ; Zhu, Q. J. *Techniques of variational analysis.* CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathmatiques de la SMC, 20. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- Burke, J. V.; Lewis, A. S.; Overton, M. L. Approximating subdifferentials by random sampling of gradients. *Math. Oper. Res.* 27 (2002), 567–584.
- Dutta, J. Revisiting the Lagrange multiplier rule. *Pac. J. Optim.* 2 (2006), pp 501–519.
- Durea, M., Dutta, J., Tammer, C., Langrange multiplier for ε- Pareto solutions in vector optimization with nonsolid cones in Banach Space, JOTA, (to be published).



### Bibliography

- Mordukhovich, B.S.Variational analysis and generalized differentiation.
   I. Basic theory., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 330.
   Springer-Verlag ,Berlin,2006.
- Mordukhovich, B.S., Variational analysis and generalized differentiation.II. Applications., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 331. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- Mordukhovich, B.S., Bao, T.Q., Variational principle for set-valued mappings with application to multiobjective optimization, *Control and Cybernetics* vol-36(2007), 1-33.
- Mordukhovich, B.S., Bao, T.Q., Relative Pareto minimizer to multiobjective problems: Existance and optimality conditions, *Prep* Rockafellar, R. T., Wets, R.J., Variational Analysis, Springer, Berlin

## Thanks



Suvendu Ranjan Pattanaik (NIT Rourkela) Optimization under Generalized Equation Cor

18 / 18