CHEMICAL ERA

Prediction of Maximum and Minimum Semifluidization Velocities for Liquid-Solid Systems from Dimensional Analysis Approach

- G. K. Roy, B. Sc. Chem. Engg. (B. H. U.), M. Tech. (I. I. T.), A. M. I. I. Ch. E., A. M. I. E., Senior Lecturer,
- **Dr. K. J. R. Sharma,** M. Sc. Tech. (Andhra), Ph. D. (I. I. T.), A. M. I I. Ch. E., A. M. A. I. Ch. E., Professor,
 - -Department of Chemical Engineering, Regional Engineering College, Rourkela-8.

Prediction of Maximum and Minimum Semifluidization Velocities for Liquid-Solid Systems from Dimensional Analysis Approach

G. K. Roy, B. Sc. Chem. Engg. (B. H. U.), M. Tech. (I. I. T.), A. M. I. I. Ch. E., A. M. I. E., Senior Lecturer,

Dr. K. J. R. Sharma, M. Sc. Tech. (Andhra), Ph. D. (I. I. T), A. M. I I. Ch E., A. M. A. I. Ch. E., Professor,

-Department of Chemical Engineering, Regional Engineering College, Rourkela-8.

In an earlier communication³, the authors have given theoretical equations for the prediction of maximum and minimum semi-fluidization velocities for liquid-solid systems based on the expanded bed voidage and voidage unction, proposed by Wen and Yu⁵ and Wilhelm and Kwauk⁴. Here, however, an attempt has been made to give correlations for the prediction of maximum and minimum semi-fluidization velocities from a dimensional approach.

Experimental

The set-up and the methods of investigation are as given in an earlier paper³.

Results and Discussions

(A) Predication of maximum semi-fluidization velocitytwo suggested methods^{1,2} for finding the maximum semifluidization velocity from semi-fluidization experiments are :

(1) Linear extrapolation of expanded bed voidage vs. fluid mass velocity plot to the value of $e_f = 1.0$.

(2) Extrapolation of h p_a/h_s vs. fluid mass velocity plot to the value of h $p_a/h_s = 1.0$.

In the present case, the values have been calculated by the second method (Table 1).

Comparison of the values of maximum semi-fluidization velocity												
			G _{msf} , lł	% deviation								
System	d _p ft	९ s lb/ft ^s	From expt. (by method 2)	From correla- tion	calculated values from experimental							
1. Glass bed-water	0.0164	151,8	3.00×10^{5}	2.840×10^{5}	- 5.33							
2. Dolomite water	0.0080	172.2	1.80×10^{5}	2.020×10^{5}	+ 12.20							
3. Stone chips-water	0.0080	165.1	1.80×10^{5}	1.950×10^{5}	+ 8.34							
4. Iron ore-water	0.0080	315.2	$2.60 imes 10^{5}$	3.180×10^{5}	+ 22.30							
5. Dolomite water	0.0036	172.2	1.15×10^{5}	1.250×10^{5}	+ 8.70							
6. Stone chips water	0.0036	165.1	1.10×10^{5}	1.210×10^{5}	+ 10.00							
7. Iron ore water	0.0036	315.2	1.75×10^{5}	1.970×80^{5}	+ 12.60							
8. Coal water	0,0036	98.5	0.84×10^{5}	0.686 × 10 ⁵	— 18.35							

			TABLE I		
Comparison	of the	voluos	of maximum	semi-fluidization	velocity

2 Regd. No. C-432

Development of Correlation

For liquid-solid systems under investigation, it has been found that the effects of the initial static bed height and the position of movable restraint on maximum semi-fluidization velocity, were not appreciable. So the variables of importance are particle size and density. Hence a relationship can be written in terms of dimensionless group as :

 $\operatorname{Re}_{mst} = \operatorname{G}_{a}$ (1)

 Re_{mst} takes into consideration the effect of velocity, whereas the latter contains terms involving the physical characteristics of the fluid and the solid. In Fig. 1, Re_{mst} has been plotted against G_a on alog-log paper and a straight line has been obtained, the equation for which is

Writing in terms of mass velocity, the maximum semifluidization velocity for water solid system becomes,

 $G_{mst} = 3.20 \times 10^{5} (d_p)^{0.62} (\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_s - \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_t)^{0.54} \dots \dots (3)$

The values calculated with the help of the above equation have been found to be in good agreement with the experimental ones. The deviations along with the values are given in Table 1.

(B) Prediction of minimum semi-fluidization velocity— The methods for the prediction of minimum semi-fluidization velocity are :

(1) From $\triangle p$ vs. G curve.

(2) From h_t/h_s vs. G curve for $h_t/h_s = R$.

The values calculated by both these methods have been presented earlier³.

Development of Correlation

In liquid-solid semi-fluidization, the properties of the fluid and the solid as well as the geometry of the system will determine the velocity at which onset of semi-fluidization occurs. The parameters of importance in this case are :

$$\frac{G_{osf}}{G_{msf}}$$
, $\frac{h_s}{D_o}$, $\frac{D_c}{d_p}$, $\frac{\boldsymbol{\varrho}_s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}_f}$, and R.

The relation between the group, G_{ost}/G_{mst} and the other parameters can be written in the following manner :

$$\frac{G_{osf}}{G_{msf}} = (h_s/D_c, D_c/d_p, \boldsymbol{\varrho}_s/\boldsymbol{\varrho}_f, R) \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

It has been observed in course of investigations that the static bed height has no appreciable effect on G_{ost} . Also the column diameter has not been altered in the present study. The effect of h_s/D_c group is, therefore, not relevant. Consequently, the above expression reduces to—

$$\frac{G_{osf}}{G_{msf}} = A(D_c/d_p)^{a_1} (\boldsymbol{\varrho}_s/\boldsymbol{\varrho}_f^{a_2}(R)^{a_3} \dots \dots \dots \dots (5)^{b_1})^{b_1}$$

where, A is a constant and a_1, a_2, a_3 are respective exponents of the system variables.

The effects of various parameters on C_{ost}/G_{mst} has been studied and the values of the exponents have been determined. On substitution of exponents, the correlation becomes---

$$\frac{G_{osf}}{G_{msf}} \quad A \left[(D_c/d_p)^{-0.2} \left(\boldsymbol{\varrho}_s/\boldsymbol{\varrho}_f \right)^{0.392} (R)^{0.631} \right]^B \dots (6)$$

Where, A is the coefficient and B is the exponent of the over all product. In Fig. 2, the ratio of G_{ost}/G_{mst} is plotted on a log-log paper against the product $(D_c/d_p)^{-0.2} (\mathbf{Q}_s - \mathbf{Q}_t)^{0.392} (R)^{0.681}$. All the data have fitted well and an equation of the following type has been obtained—

$$\frac{G_{osf}}{G_{msf}} = 0.30 \, (D_c/d_p)^{-0.21} \, (\boldsymbol{\varrho}_s/\boldsymbol{\varrho}_f)^{0.41} \, (R)^{0.66} \, \dots \dots (7)$$

The calculated values have been compared with the experimental in Table II. It has been found that except for iron ore and coal, where densities are either too large or too small, the deviations lie within $\pm 10\%$.

Nomenclature

A =	Constant.
-----	-----------

- $D_c = Diameter of column (semi-fluidizer), L.$
- $d_p = Particle diameter, L.$
- $g_c = Gravitational constant, L\theta^{-2}$
- G = Mass velocity of fluid $M\theta^{-1} L^{-2}$.
- $G_a = Galileo$ number, dimensionless group, $d_{p^3} Q_t (Q_s - Q_t) g_c / \mu^2$

$$G_{ost} = Minimum semi-fluidization velocsty, M\theta^{-1}L^{-2}$$

$$G_{msf} = Maximum semi-fluidization velocity, M\theta^{-1} L^{-2}.$$

$$h_f$$
 = Height of fully fluidized bed, L.

 h_{p_a} = Height of packed section in semi-fluidization, L.

 h_s = Height of initial static bed, L.

- R = Bed expansion ratio in semi-fluidization, dimensionless, h/h_s .
- Re_{msf} = Reynolds number at maximum semi-fluidization velocity,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}_{p}\mathrm{G}_{msf}}{\mu}$$

Greek Letters

- ψ = Function.
- μ = Viscocity, M θ^{-1} L⁻¹
- $Q = Density, ML^{-3}$
- $\epsilon = Bed porosity$



	:	x		`.	6. 7.		.5				4.			မာ			Ņ		1.		-		SI.		
	Cual-Water	Cool-written		Iron ore-water	•		Iron ore-water	4		Stone chips-water	2		Stone chips-water	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dolomite-water			Dolomite-water	•	•	Glass bed-water			No. System
	0.0030	2600 0		0.0036)		0.0080	•		0.0036	e 1 1		0.0080			0.0036	P 1 1		0.0080	1		0.0164		d	d_ ft
	1.38			5.05			5.05			2.65			2.65		×	2.76			2.76			2.43		1813	0-1-0
3.0	2.0 2.5	3.0	2,5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0		;	77
0.351	0.268 3.311	0.565	0.501	0.432	0.666	0.590	0.510	0.435	0.385	0.332	0.513	0.455	0.392	0.441	0.391	0.338	0.521	0.461	0.398	0.575	0.510	0.440		Oosf Omsf	
	0.080 X 10°			1.97 × 10 ⁵			3.18×10^{5}			1.21×10^{5}			1.95×10^{5}			1.25×10^{5}			2.02×10^{5}			2.84×10^{5}			G lbs/hr ft
0.241×10^{5}	0.184×10^{5} 0.214×10^{5}	1.112×10^{6}	0.986 × 10⁵	0.850×13^{5}	2.120×10^{5}	1.875×10^{5}	1.620×10^{5}	0.526×10^{5}	0.466 × 10, ⁶	0.402×10^{5}	1.000×10^{5}	0.886×10^{5}	0.765×10^{5}	0.551×10^{5}	0.489×10^{6}	0.442×10^{5}	1.052×10^{5}	0.931×10^{6}	0.805×10^{5}	1.635×10^{5}	1.450×10^{5}	1.250×10^{5}			Gost, lbs
$0.332 \times 10_{5}$	0.231×10^{5} 0.297×10^{5}	0.960×11^{5}	0.855×10^{5}	0.745×10^{5}	1.520×10^{5}	1.305×10^{5}	1.150×10^{5}	0.562×10^{5}	0.502×10^{5}	0.435×10^{5}	1.040×10^{5}	0.925×10^{5}	0.810×10^{5}	0.606×10^{5}	0.536×10^{5}	0.470×10^{5}	1.080×10^{5}	0.959×10^{5}	0.840×10^{5}	1.540×10^{5}	1.370×10^{5}	1.218×10^{5}	•		/hr. ft ³ Expt
- 20.20	- 20.40 - 19.85	+ 15.85	+15.30	+14.10	+39.50	+43.70	40.80	- 6.40	- 7.16	- 7.80	- 3 ₆ .5	- 4.22	- 5.55	- 8.40	- 8.75	- 5.59	- 2.59	- 2.92	- 4.17	+ 6.06	+ 5.84	+ 3.31	tal	calculated value	% deviation of

Comparison of the values of minimum semi-fluidization velocity

3

Regd. No. C432

4

Subscripts

- c = Column.
- f = Fluid or fluidized.
- msf = Maximum semi-fluidization condition.
- $p_{\bullet} = Packed bed$
- s = Solid or initial static bed.

References

- 1. Fan, L. T. and Wen, G. Y.—A. I. Ch. E. Jr., 4, 609(1961).
- Fan, L. T., Wang, S. G. and Wen, G. Y.-A.I.Ch., E.Jr.,9, 316, (1963).
- 3. Roy, G. K. and Sarma, K. J. R.—Chem. Processing and Engg., 5, No. 6, (1971).
- 4. Wilhelm, R. H. and Kwauk, R. Chem. Engg. Progr., 44,201, (1948).
- 5. Wen and Yu.—Chem. Engg. Progr., Fluid Particle Technology Symposium Series 62, No. 62, 101-109, (1966).