

Discrete Mathematics  
Accepted, to appear in 2008

## New constructions of two slim dense near hexagons

**Binod Kumar Sahoo**

Department of Mathematics  
National Institute of Technology  
Rourkela-769008, Orissa, India.  
E-mail: binodkumar@gmail.com

**Abstract:** We provide a geometrical construction of the unique slim dense near hexagon with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$ . Using this construction, we construct the rank 3 symplectic dual polar space  $DSp(6, 2)$  which is the unique slim dense near hexagon with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$ . Both near hexagons are constructed from two copies of the unique generalized quadrangle with parameters  $(2, 2)$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** 51E12

**Keywords.** Partial linear spaces, generalized quadrangles, near polygons.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *partial linear space* is a point-line geometry  $S = (P, L)$  with ‘point-set’  $P$  and ‘line-set’  $L$  of subsets of  $P$  of size at least 2 such that any two distinct points are contained in at most one line. Two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  are *collinear*, written as  $x \sim y$ , if there is a line containing them. In that case we denote this line by  $xy$ . We write  $x \not\sim y$  if two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  are not collinear. For  $x \in P$  and  $A \subseteq P$ , we define  $x^\perp = \{x\} \cup \{y \in P : x \sim y\}$  and  $A^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in A} x^\perp$ . Note that  $xy = \{x, y\}^\perp$  if  $x \sim y$ . A subset of  $P$  is a *subspace* of  $S$  if any line containing at least two of its points is contained in it. A subspace of  $S$  is *singular* if each pair of its distinct points is collinear. A *geometric hyperplane* of  $S$  is a subspace, different from the empty set and  $P$ , that meets every line non-trivially. The graph  $\Gamma(P)$  with vertex set  $P$ , in which two distinct vertices are *adjacent* if they are collinear in  $S$ , is the *collinearity graph* of  $S$ . The diameter of  $\Gamma(P)$  is called the *diameter* of  $S$ . If  $\Gamma(P)$  is connected, we say that  $S$  is *connected*.

A *near polygon* [11] is a connected partial linear space of finite diameter satisfying the following near-polygon property (*NP*): *For each point-line pair  $(x, l)$  with  $x \notin l$ , there is a unique point in  $l$  nearest to  $x$ .* If the diameter of  $S$  is  $n$ , then  $S$  is called a *near  $2n$ -gon*, and for  $n = 3$  a *near hexagon*. A near 0-gon is a point and a near 2-gon is a line. An important class of near polygons is the the class of generalized  $2n$ -gons. The class of the near 4-gons coincides with the class of the *generalized quadrangles*.

Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a near polygon. A subspace  $C$  of  $S$  is *convex* if every shortest path in  $\Gamma(P)$  between two points of  $C$  is entirely contained in  $C$ . A *quad* is a convex subspace of  $S$  of diameter 2 such that no point of it is adjacent to all other points of it. The points and the lines contained in a quad define a generalized quadrangle. We denote by  $d(x, y)$  the *distance* in  $\Gamma(P)$  between two points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $S$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be two points of  $S$  with  $d(x_1, x_2) = 2$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have at least two common neighbours  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that one of the lines  $x_i y_j$  contains at least three points, then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are contained in a unique quad ([11], Proposition 2.5, p.10). A near polygon is called *dense* if every line contains at least three points and every pair of points at distance 2 have at least two common neighbours. A structure theory for dense near polygons can be found in [2].

Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a dense near  $2n$ -gon. Then, the number  $t + 1$  of lines containing a given point of  $S$  is independent of the point ([2], Lemma 19, p.152). Let  $t_2 = \{|\{x, y\}^\perp| - 1 : x, y \in P, d(x, y) = 2\}$ . We say that  $S$  has *parameters*  $(s, t, t_2)$  if each line of  $S$  contains  $s + 1$

points, each point is contained in  $t+1$  lines and  $t_2$  is as above. If  $n = 2$ , then  $t_2 = \{t\}$ , though  $t_2$  may have more than one element in general. A near 4-gon with parameters  $(s, t, \{t\})$  is written as a  $(s, t)$ -GQ. If  $Q$  is a quad of  $S$ , then for  $x \in P$ , either

- (i) there is a unique point  $y \in Q$  (depending on  $x$ ) collinear with  $x$  and  $d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $z \in Q$ ; or
- (ii)  $d(x, Q) = 2$  and the set  $\mathcal{O}_x = \{y \in Q : d(x, y) = 2\}$  is an ovoid of  $Q$ .

([11], Proposition 2.6, p.12). We say that the quad  $Q$  is *classical* if (i) holds for each  $x \in P$ . If every quad in  $S$  is classical, then the near hexagon  $S$  is said to be *classical*.

Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a polar space of rank  $n$  (see [3]). The *dual polar space of rank  $n$*  associated with  $S$  is the point-line geometry  $DS = (P', L')$ , whose point set  $P'$  is the collection of all maximal singular subspaces of  $S$ ; and a line of  $DS$  is the collection of all maximal singular subspaces of  $S$  containing a specific singular subspace of  $S$  of co-dimension 1. These geometries were characterized in terms of points and lines by Cameron [4]. The dual polar spaces of rank  $n$  are precisely the classical dense near  $2n$ -gons ([4], Theorem 1, p.75).

A near polygon is *slim* if each line contains exactly three points. In that case, if  $x$  and  $y$  are two collinear points, we define  $x * y$  by  $xy = \{x, y, x * y\}$ . All slim dense near hexagons are classified by Brouwer et al. [1]. We refer to [6]–[8] for new classification results concerning slim dense near polygons.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([1], Theorem 1.1, p.349) *Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a slim dense near hexagon. Then,  $P$  is finite and  $S$  is isomorphic to one of the eleven near hexagons with parameters as given below:*

$ P $	$t$	$t_2$	$ P $	$t$	$t_2$
891	20	$\{4\}$	135	6	$\{2\}$
759	14	$\{2\}$	105	5	$\{1, 2\}$
729	11	$\{1\}$	81	5	$\{1, 4\}$
567	14	$\{2, 4\}$	45	3	$\{1, 2\}$
405	11	$\{1, 2, 4\}$	27	2	$\{1\}$
243	8	$\{1, 4\}$	–	–	–

The slim dense near hexagon on 135 points with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$  is the symplectic dual polar space of rank 3 over the field  $F_2$  with two elements. We denote this near hexagon by  $DSp(6, 2)$  and by  $\mathbb{H}_3$  the near hexagon on 105 points with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$ . In the following, we present three known descriptions of

the near hexagon  $\mathbb{H}_3$ . Another description of this near hexagon is given in ([1], p.352) using the notion of a Fischer space.

**Example 1.2.** ([1], p.355) *Let  $X$  be a set of size 8. Let  $S$  be the partial linear space whose point set consists of all partitions of  $X$  into four 2-subsets, and lines are the collections of three points which (regarded as partitions) share two given disjoint 2-subsets. Then  $S$  is a slim dense near hexagon isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}_3$ .*

**Example 1.3.** ([1], p.352) *Let  $Q(6, 2)$  be a non-singular quadric in  $PG(6, 2)$  and let  $DQ(6, 2)$  be the associated dual polar space. Let  $\Pi$  be a hyperplane of  $PG(6, 2)$  intersecting  $Q(6, 2)$  in a non-singular hyperbolic quadric  $Q^+(5, 2)$ . The set of all maximal subspaces of  $Q(6, 2)$  which are not contained in  $Q^+(5, 2)$  is a subspace of  $DQ(6, 2)$  and the induced subgeometry is isomorphic to the near hexagon  $\mathbb{H}_3$ . (Note that  $DQ(6, 2)$  is isomorphic to  $DSp(6, 2)$ .)*

The next construction (Example 1.5) is due to Bart De Bruyn ([5], p.51). We first note down some facts about  $(2, 2)$ -GQs which play an important role in Example 1.5 below as well as in our constructions in the next section.

Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a  $(2, 2)$ -GQ. Then  $S$  is unique up to an isomorphism ([9], 5.2.3, p.78). In the notation of [9],  $S$  is isomorphic to the classical generalized quadrangle  $W(2) \simeq Q(4, 2)$ .

**A combinatorial model of a  $(2, 2)$ -GQ:** Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . An *edge* of  $\Omega$  is a 2-subset of  $\Omega$ . A *factor* of  $\Omega$  is a set of three pair-wise disjoint edges. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the set of all edges and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all factors of  $\Omega$ . Then  $|\mathcal{E}| = |\mathcal{F}| = 15$ . Taking  $\mathcal{E}$  as the point-set and  $\mathcal{F}$  as the line-set, the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is a  $(2, 2)$ -GQ.

A *k-arc* of points of  $S$  is a set of  $k$  pair-wise non-collinear points of  $S$ . A *k-arc* is *complete* if it is not contained in a  $(k + 1)$ -arc. A point  $x$  is a *center* of a *k-arc* if  $x$  is collinear with every point of it. A 3-arc is called a *triad*. We refer to [10] for a detailed study of *k-arcs* of a  $(2, t)$ -GQ. We repeatedly use the following result, mostly without mention.

**Lemma 1.4.** ([10], Proposition 3.2, p.161) *Let  $S = (P, L)$  be a  $(2, 2)$ -GQ and  $T$  be a triad of points of  $S$ . Then*

- (i)  $|T^\perp| = 1$  or  $3$ ;
- (ii)  $|T^\perp| = 1$  if and only if  $T$  is an incomplete triad if and only if  $T$  is contained in a unique  $(2, 1)$ -subGQ of  $S$ ;
- (iii)  $|T^\perp| = 3$  if and only if  $T$  is a complete triad.

Dually, we can define a  $k$ -arc of lines of  $S$  and center of such a  $k$ -arc. Since  $W(2)$  is self-dual ([9], 3.2.1, p.43), Lemma 1.4 holds for a triad of lines also. From the combinatorial model above, it can be seen that any two non-collinear points (respectively; disjoint lines) of  $S$  are contained in a unique complete triad of points (respectively; lines) of  $S$ .

**Example 1.5.** ([5], p.51) *Let  $S = (P, L)$  be the (2,2)-GQ. A partial linear space  $S' = (P', L')$  can be constructed from  $S$  as follows. Set*

$$P' = \{(x, y) \in P \times P : x = y \text{ or } x \sim y\}.$$

*There are four types of lines in  $L'$ . For each line  $l = \{x, y, z\}$  of  $S$ , we have the following lines of  $S'$  (up to a permutation of the points of  $l$ ):*

- (i)  $\{(x, x), (y, y), (z, z)\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\{(x, x), (x, y), (x, z)\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\{(x, y), (y, z), (z, x)\}$ .

*Let  $T = \{k, m, n\}$  be an incomplete triad of lines of  $S$  such that  $T^\perp = \{l\}$ . We may assume that  $k \cap l = \{x\}$ ,  $m \cap l = \{y\}$  and  $n \cap l = \{z\}$ . Let  $x'$  be an arbitrary point of  $k \setminus \{x\}$ . Let  $y'$  (respectively,  $z'$ ) be the unique point of  $m \setminus \{y\}$  (respectively,  $n \setminus \{z\}$ ) not collinear with  $x'$ . Then the lines of fourth type are:*

- (iv)  $\{(x, x'), (y, y'), (z, z')\}$ .

*Then  $S'$  is a slim dense near hexagon isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}_3$ . (It can be seen that the set  $\{x', y', z'\}$  is a complete triad of points of  $S$ .)*

## 2. NEW CONSTRUCTIONS

Here, we provide new geometrical constructions for the near hexagons  $DSp(6, 2)$  and  $\mathbb{H}_3$  from two copies of the unique (2,2)-GQ. In fact, we first construct  $\mathbb{H}_3$  and then construct  $DSp(6, 2)$  in which  $\mathbb{H}_3$  is embedded as a geometric hyperplane.

**2.1. Construction of  $\mathbb{H}_3$ .** In this construction the point set is the same as in Example 1.5 (up to an isomorphism). Let  $S = (P, L)$  and  $S' = (P', L')$  be two (2,2)-GQs. Let  $x \leftrightarrow x'$ ,  $x \in P, x' \in P'$ , be an isomorphism between  $S$  and  $S'$ . We define a partial linear space  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  as follows. The point set is

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(x, y') \in P \times P' : y' \in x'^\perp\},$$

and the lines are of the form

$$\{(x, u'), (y, v'), (z, w')\},$$

where  $T = \{x, y, z\}$  is either a line or a complete triad and  $T'^\perp = x'^\perp \cap y'^\perp \cap z'^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The partial linear space  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a slim dense near hexagon with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$ .*

**2.2. Construction of  $DSp(6, 2)$ .** Let  $S = (P, L)$ ,  $S' = (P', L')$  and  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  be as in the construction of  $\mathbb{H}_3$ . We define a partial linear space  $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{L})$  as follows. The point set is

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P} \cup P \cup P',$$

and the line set  $\mathbb{L}$  consists of the lines in  $\mathcal{L}$  together with the following collection  $\mathbb{L}_1$  of lines:

( $\mathbb{L}_1$ ) : The collection  $\mathbb{L}_1$  consists of lines of the form  $\{x, (x, u'), u'\}$  for every point  $(x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *The partial linear space  $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{L})$  is a slim dense near hexagon with parameters  $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$*

An immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is that the near hexagon  $\mathbb{H}_3$  is a geometric hyperplane of the near hexagon  $DSp(6, 2)$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Let  $\alpha = (x, u')$  and  $\beta = (y, v')$  be two distinct points of  $\mathcal{S}$ . By the construction of lines of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if and only if  $x \neq y$ ,  $u' \neq v'$ ,  $u' \in y'^\perp$  and  $v' \in x'^\perp$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be distinct non-collinear points of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then one of the following possibilities occur:

- (A1)  $x = y, u' \neq v'$ ;
- (A2)  $x \neq y, u' = v'$ ;
- (A3)  $x \neq y, u' \neq v'$ ,  $u' \notin y'^\perp$  and  $v' \notin x'^\perp$ ;
- (A4)  $x \neq y, u' \neq v'$  and either  $u' \in y'^\perp$  and  $v' \notin x'^\perp$  or  $u' \notin y'^\perp$  and  $v' \in x'^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that (A1) or (A2) holds. Then  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that (A1) holds. Then  $x' \in \{u', v'\}^\perp$ . If  $u' \sim v'$ , we may assume that  $v' \neq x'$ . So  $x'v' = u'v'$ . Then  $(v, u' * v')$  and  $(x * v, u' * v')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ . If  $u' \not\sim v'$ , let  $\{u', v', w'\}$  be the complete triad of  $S'$  containing  $u'$  and  $v'$ . Then  $(a, w')$  and  $(b, w')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ , where  $\{a', b'\} = \{u', v'\}^\perp \setminus \{x'\}$ . A similar argument applies if (A2) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that (A3) holds. Then  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \sim y$  and  $u' \sim v'$ , then  $\{x', y', u', v'\}$  defines a quadrangle in  $S'$ . Then  $(u, x')$ ,  $(v, y')$  and  $(u * v, x' * y')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ .

If  $x \sim y$  and  $u' \not\sim v'$ , let  $T' = \{u' * x', v' * y', z'\}$  be the complete triad of  $S'$  containing  $u' * x'$  and  $v' * y'$ . Then  $u', v' \in T'^\perp$  and  $x' * y' \notin T'$ .

Now,  $x' * y' \sim z'$ , because  $x' * y' \approx u' * x'$ ,  $x' * y' \approx v' * y'$  and  $T'$  is a complete triad. Then  $(u * x, x')$ ,  $(v * y, y')$  and  $(z, x' * y')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ .

By a similar argument, if  $x \approx y$  and  $u' \sim v'$  then  $(u, u' * x')$ ,  $(v, v' * y')$  and  $(u * v, z')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ , where  $\{u' * x', v' * y', z'\}$  is the complete triad of  $S'$  containing  $u' * x'$  and  $v' * y'$ .

Now, assume that  $x \approx y$  and  $u' \approx v'$ . If  $u' = x'$  and  $v' = y'$ , then  $(a, a')$ ,  $(b, b')$  and  $(c, c')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ , where  $\{u', v'\}^\perp = \{a', b', c'\}$  in  $S'$ . We may assume that  $v' \neq y'$ . Then the complete triads  $\{x', y'\}^\perp$  and  $\{u', v'\}^\perp$  of  $S'$  intersect in the point  $w' = v' * y'$ . This fact is independent of whether  $u' = x'$  or not. Let  $\{x', y'\}^\perp = \{a', b', w'\}$  and  $\{u', v'\}^\perp = \{p', q', w'\}$  in  $S'$ . Since  $a' \approx w'$ ,  $b' \approx w'$  and  $\{p', q', w'\}$  is a complete triad of  $S'$ , each of  $a'$  and  $b'$  is collinear with exactly one of  $p'$  and  $q'$ . Similarly, each of  $p'$  and  $q'$  is collinear with exactly one of  $a'$  and  $b'$ . So, we may assume that  $a' \sim p'$  and  $b' \sim q'$ . Then  $(p, a')$ ,  $(q, b')$  and  $(w, w')$  are contained in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that (A4) holds. Then  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $u' \in y'^\perp$  and  $v' \notin x'^\perp$ . Suppose that  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$  and  $(z, w') \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$ . Then  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ ,  $w' \notin \{u', v'\}$ ,  $u', v' \in z'^\perp$  and  $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$ . Let  $T' = \{x', y', z'\}$ . Then  $T'$  is either a line or a complete triad of  $S'$ , because  $x, y$  and  $z$  are pair-wise distinct and  $u', w' \in T'^\perp$  with  $u' \neq w'$ . Since  $v' \in \{y', z'\}^\perp$ , it follows that  $v' \in T'^\perp$  and  $v' \in x'^\perp$ , a contradiction to our assumption.

So  $d(\alpha, \beta) \neq 2$ . Now, choose  $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$  with  $w' \neq u'$ . Then  $\alpha \sim (y, w')$  and  $d((y, w'), \beta) = 2$  by Lemma 3.1. Hence  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of the above results, we have

**Corollary 3.4.** *The diameter of  $\mathcal{S}$  is 3.*

We next prove that the near-polygon property ( $NP$ ) is satisfied in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $L = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  be a line and  $\theta$  be a point of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\alpha = (x, u')$ ,  $\beta = (y, v')$ ,  $\gamma = (z, w')$  and  $\theta = (p, q')$ . Then  $T = \{x, y, z\}$  is either a line or a complete triad of  $S$  and  $T'^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$ . Any two collinear points of  $\mathcal{S}$  have only one common neighbour. So, if  $\theta$  has distance 1 from two points of  $L$ , then it is itself a point of  $L$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $\theta$  has distance 2 from two points of  $L$ , then it is collinear with the third point of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 2$ . We prove  $\theta \sim \gamma$  by showing that  $p \neq z$ ,  $q' \neq w'$ ,  $q' \in z'^\perp$  and  $w' \in p'^\perp$ .

If  $p = x$  and  $q' \neq v'$  (respectively,  $p \neq x$  and  $q' = v'$ ), then  $d(\theta, \beta) = 2$  (respectively,  $d(\theta, \alpha) = 2$ ) yields  $v' \notin x'^\perp$ , a contradiction. So  $p = x$

if and only if  $q' = v'$ . Similarly,  $p = y$  if and only if  $q' = u'$ . Thus, if  $p \in \{x, y\}$ , then  $p \neq z, q' \neq w', q' \in z'^\perp$  and  $w' \in p'^\perp$ .

If  $p \notin \{x, y\}$ , then the above argument implies that  $p \neq z$  and  $q' \neq w'$ . Also,  $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 2$  yields  $x', y' \notin q'^\perp$  and  $u', v' \notin p'^\perp$ . This implies that  $q' \in z'^\perp$  and  $w' \in p'^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *If  $\theta$  has distance 3 from two points of  $L$ , then it has distance 2 to the third point of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$ . We prove  $d(\theta, \gamma) = 2$ . By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that  $p \neq z$  and  $q' \neq w'$ . This, together with  $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$ , implies that  $p' \notin T', q' \notin T'^\perp$ . We show that  $q' \notin z'^\perp$  and  $w' \notin p'^\perp$ . This would complete the proof.

Suppose that  $q' \in z'^\perp$ . Since  $q' \notin T'^\perp, q' \notin x'^\perp$  and  $q' \notin y'^\perp$ . Then,  $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$  yields  $u', v' \in p'^\perp$ . This implies that  $p' \in \{u', v'\}^\perp = T'$ , a contradiction. A similar argument shows that if  $w' \in p'^\perp$ , then  $q' \in T'^\perp$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 together with Corollary 3.4 imply that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a near hexagon. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense. Since  $|\mathcal{P}| = 105$ , Theorem 1.1 completes the proof.

Thus, quads in  $\mathcal{S}$  are  $(2, 1)$  or  $(2, 2)$ -GQs. In fact, it can be shown that equality holds in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

By the construction of lines of  $\mathbb{S}$ , no two points of  $P$ , as well as of  $P'$ , are collinear in  $\mathbb{S}$ . Further, if  $x \in P$  and  $u' \in P'$ , then  $x \sim u'$  if and only if  $(x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$ , or equivalently,  $u' \in x'^\perp$  in  $S'$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two distinct non-collinear points of  $\mathbb{S}$  with  $\alpha \in P \cup P'$ . Then one of the following possibilities occur:

- (B1)  $\alpha = x$  and  $\beta = y$  for some  $x, y \in P$  with  $x \neq y$ ;
- (B2)  $\alpha = u'$  and  $\beta = v'$  for some  $u', v' \in P'$  with  $u' \neq v'$ ;
- (B3)  $\alpha = x \in P$  and  $\beta = u' \in P'$  with  $u' \notin x'^\perp$ ;
- (B4)  $\alpha = x \in P$  and  $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $v' \in x'^\perp$  in  $S'$ ;
- (B5)  $\alpha = u' \in P'$  and  $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $u' \neq v'$  and  $y \in u'^\perp$  in  $S$ ;
- (B6)  $\alpha = x \in P$  and  $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $v' \notin x'^\perp$  in  $S'$ ;
- (B7)  $\alpha = u' \in P'$  and  $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $u' \neq v'$  and  $y \notin u'^\perp$  in  $S$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that (B1) or (B2) holds. Then  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ .*

*Proof.* If (B1) holds, then  $w' \in \{x, y\}^\perp$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  for each  $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$  in  $S'$ . So  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$ . Similarly, if (B2) holds then  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that (B3) holds. Then  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $d(\alpha, \beta) \geq 3$  since  $u' \notin x'^{\perp}$ . Let  $v' \in \{u', x'\}^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ . Then  $x, v', v, u'$  is a path of length 3 in  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P})$ . So  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that (B4) or (B5) holds. Then  $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\perp}| \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that (B4) holds. If  $x \sim y$  in  $S$ , then  $v' \in x'y'$  in  $S'$ . If  $v' = x'$ , then  $v', (x, y')$  and  $(x, v' * y')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\perp}$ . If  $v' \neq x'$ , then  $v', (x, x')$  and  $(x, v' * x')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\perp}$ .

If  $x \not\sim y$  in  $S$ , let  $\{x', y'\}^{\perp} = \{u', v', w'\}$  in  $S'$ . Then  $v', (x, u')$  and  $(x, w')$  are in  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^{\perp}$ . A similar argument applies if (B5) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that (B6) or (B7) holds. Then  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that (B6) holds. Suppose that  $\theta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^{\perp}$ . Then  $\theta \neq v'$ , since  $v' \notin x'^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ . So  $\theta = (x, w')$  for some  $w' \in x'^{\perp}$ . Then  $\theta \sim \beta$  implies that  $v' \in x'^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ , a contradiction. So  $d(\alpha, \beta) \neq 2$ . Now,  $y \sim \beta$  and  $d(\alpha, y) = 2$  (Lemma 4.1). So  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ . A similar argument can be applied if (B7) holds.  $\square$

Note that the embedding of  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathbb{S}$  is isometric. As a consequence of the above results of this section together with Corollary 3.4, we have

**Corollary 4.5.** *The diameter of  $\mathbb{S}$  is 3.*

We prove that property (NP) is satisfied in  $\mathbb{S}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $L$  be a line of  $\mathbb{S}$  of type  $(\mathbb{L}_1)$  and  $\alpha$  be a point of  $\mathbb{S}$  not contained in  $L$ . Then  $L$  contains a unique point nearest to  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = \{x, \beta, u'\}$  where  $\beta = (x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\alpha = v' \in P'$ . Then  $v' \neq u'$  and  $d(\alpha, u') = 2$  (Lemma 4.1). Now  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$  or 3 according as  $x \in v'^{\perp}$  in  $S$  or not. In the first case,  $\alpha \sim x$ , and in the latter case,  $d(\alpha, x) = 3$  (Lemma 4.2). A similar argument holds if  $\alpha \in P$ .

Let  $\alpha = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $x = y$ , then  $u' \neq v'$  and  $x \in \{u, v\}^{\perp}$  in  $S$ . So  $\alpha \sim x$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, u') = 2$  (Lemmas 3.1 and 4.3). Similarly, if  $u' = v'$  then  $\alpha \sim u'$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, x) = 2$ . Assume that  $x \neq y$  and  $u' \neq v'$ . If  $\alpha \sim \beta$ , then  $u' \in y'^{\perp}$  and  $v' \in x'^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ . So  $d(\alpha, x) = d(\alpha, u') = 2$  (Lemma 4.3). If  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$ , then  $u' \notin y'^{\perp}$  and  $v' \notin x'^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $d(\alpha, x) = d(\alpha, u') = 3$ . If  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$ , then either  $u' \in y'^{\perp}$  and  $v' \notin x'^{\perp}$ , or  $u' \notin y'^{\perp}$  and  $v' \in x'^{\perp}$  in  $S'$ . Then  $d(\alpha, x) = 3$  and  $d(\alpha, u') = 2$  in the first case, and  $d(\alpha, x) = 2$  and  $d(\alpha, u') = 3$  in the latter.  $\square$

Now, let  $L = \{\beta, \theta, \gamma\} \in \mathcal{L}$  be a line of  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\alpha \in P \cup P'$ . We take  $\beta = (x, u'), \theta = (y, v')$  and  $\gamma = (z, w')$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** *If  $\alpha$  has distance 2 from two points of  $L$ , then it is collinear with the third point of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = q' \in P'$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, \theta) = 2$ . Then  $q' \notin \{u', v'\}$  and  $x, y \in q'^\perp$  in  $S$ . Thus,  $q' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$  in  $S'$ . So  $q' = w'$  and  $\alpha \sim \gamma$ . A similar argument holds if  $\alpha \in P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *If  $\alpha$  has distance 3 from two points of  $L$ , then it has distance 2 to the third point of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = q' \in P'$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, \theta) = 3$ . Then  $q' \notin \{u', v'\}$  and  $x, y \notin q'^\perp$  in  $S$ . So  $q' \neq w'$  and  $q' \in z'^\perp$  in  $S'$ . The latter follows from the fact that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a line or a complete triad of  $S$ . Thus,  $d(\alpha, \gamma) = 2$ . A similar argument holds if  $\alpha \in P$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** By the results of this section together with Theorem 2.1,  $\mathbb{S}$  is a slim dense near hexagon. Since  $|\mathcal{P}| = 135$ , Theorem 1.1 completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, J. I. Hall and H. A. Wilbrink, Near polygons and Fischer spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **49** (1994), no. 3, 349–368.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer and H. A. Wilbrink, The structure of near polygons with quads, *Geom. Dedicata* **14** (1983), no. 2, 145–176.
- [3] F. Buekenhout and E. Shult, On the foundations of polar geometry, *Geom. Dedicata* **3** (1974), 155–170.
- [4] P. J. Cameron, Dual polar spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **12** (1982), no. 1, 75–85.
- [5] B. De Bruyn, A new geometrical construction for the near hexagon with parameters  $(s, t, T_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$ , *J. Geom.* **78** (2003), no. 1–2, 50–58.
- [6] B. De Bruyn, “Near Polygons”, *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [7] B. De Bruyn and P. Vandecasteele, Near polygons with a nice chain of sub near polygons, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **108** (2004), 297–311.
- [8] B. De Bruyn and P. Vandecasteele, The classification of the slim dense near octagons, *European J. Combin.* **28** (2007), 410–428.
- [9] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas, “Finite Generalized Quadrangles,” *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 110, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [10] B. K. Sahoo, Generalized quadrangles of order  $(2, t)$ , *Pragmatic Algebra*, 157–170, SAS Int. Publ., Delhi, 2006.
- [11] E. Shult and A. Yanushka, Near  $n$ -gons and line systems, *Geom. Dedicata* **9** (1980), no. 1, 1–72.