44th COSPAR Scientific Assembly 2022

Research in Astrophysics from Space (E) Science with AstroSat: from Ultraviolet to Gamma Rays (E1.5) Consider for oral presentation.

X-RAY SPECTRAL STUDY OF MKN 421 USING ASTROSAT OBSERVATION

Ms Jyotishree Hota, hotajyoti@gmail.com National Institute of Technology Rourkela, India, Rourkela, India Zahir Ahmad Shah Central University of Kashmir, Gandebal, Srinagar, India, shahzahir4@gmail.com Rukaiya Khatoon IUCAA, Pune, Pune, India, rukaiyakhatoon12@gmail.com Ranjeev Misra IUCAA, Pune, India, rmisra@iucaa.in Ananta Pradhan National institute of technology, Rourkela, India, Rourkela, India, acp.phy@gmail.com Rupjyoti Gogoi Tezpur University, Napaam, India, rupjyotigogoi@gmail.com

We present a detailed X-ray spectral study of the high energy peaked blazar MKN 421 using the simultaneous broadband observations from the LAXPC and SXT instruments on-board the AstroSat satellite. In order to investigate the spectral properties of the source, we used the method of time resolved spectral analysis by dividing the total observation time into time-segments of 10 ksecs and then by fitting each segment with synchrotron emission of particle density from logparabola model. In each time-segment, we fitted the observed broadband X-ray spectrum. The X-ray spectrum of Mkn 421 showing significant spectral curvature is usually described by log-parabola model, however, the model fails to give the information of underlying physical parameters as the exact relationship of model parameters with the underlying physical quantities is not clear. Therefore in order to obtain the information of underlying physical parameters, we reproduce the X-ray spectrum with a analytical models viz. energy-dependent acceleration(EDA) model. On comparing the goodness of the fit of synchrotron convolved logparabola model with the EDA model, we noted that both the models provided nearly equally good fit to the broadband spectrum, though EDA model is comparatively better. Moreover, we studied the correlation between EDA model parameters (norm, ψ , κ) and the observed quantities using the Spearman rank correlation method. A significant anti-correlation is observed between ψ and κ with $r_s = -0.82(P_{rs} = 1.69 \times 10^{-9})$ and similar anti correlation is also obtained for the case of κ and norm $r_s = -0.86$ ($P_{rs} = 3.5 \times 10^{-11}$). The above obtained correlation results between fit parameters for the case of EDA model are consistent with the definition. Which refer that the model is more appropriate for reproducing the X-ray spectrum of Mkn 421.



X-ray Analysis of Blazar Mkn 421

Jyotishree Hota NIT Rourkela, Indía

44th Scientific Assembly, COSPAR 2022, 16-24 July 2022, Athens, Greece

Collaborators: Prof. Ranjeev Misra (IUCAA), Dr. Zahir Shah (IUCAA), Dr. Rukaíya Khatoon (IUCAA), Dr. Ananta Charan Pradhan (NIT Rourkela)





- Active galactic nuclei (AGN) with relativistic jets that point very close to the line of sight of the observer
- Variable non thermal emission from radio to γ rays.
- Spectral energy distribution (SED) Synchrotron process produces low-energy (radio-UV/X-ray) component
- Inverse Compton process giving rise to high-energy (X-ray/γ-ray) spectrum

Blazars







Blazar Classification

Blazar FSRQ

- Low-energy peaked BL Lacs (LBL)
- Intermedíate energy peaked BL Lacs
 (IBL)
- High-energy peaked BL Lacs (HBL)







 Mkn 421 is a nearest and an extremely bright source and display a strong variability across electromagnetic spectrum.

• During 2017 January 3-8, AstroSat carried a ToO observation of the flaring activity of Mkn 421 for a total observation time of 409 ksec.

· We carried a time resolved spectral study by dividing the observation time into time segments of 10 ksec.

Markarían 421 (Mkn 421)





Spectral analysis

- model.
- The motivation of our work was to obtain a more consistent physical scenario responsible for emission.
- given by $F_{syn}(\epsilon) = \frac{\delta^3(1+Z)}{d_L^2} V \mathbb{A} \int_{\xi}^{\xi_{max}} f(\epsilon/\xi^2) n(\xi) d\xi$
- XSPEC.

• The combined X-ray spectrum in each segment is fitted by synchrotron emission from different particle energy distribution e.g log parabola (lp), and particle model such as energy-dependent diffusion (EDD), energy dependent acceleration (EDA), Power-law with a maximum energy (ξ_{max})

• The synchrotron flux emission arises from a spherical region of radius (R) filled with tangled magnetic field (B) and relativistic isotropic electro distribution $(n(\gamma))$ received by the observer at energy ϵ is

• This equation is solved numerically and included it as local convolution model, synconv $\otimes n(\xi)$ in





Log-parabola model

- Shape of Input particle density as $n(\xi) = K(\xi/\xi_r)^{-\alpha - \beta \log(\xi/\xi_r)}$
- We perform the combined spectral fit in each time bin with synconv $\otimes n(\xi)$ model $\mathbb{N} = \frac{\delta^3(1+z)}{d_L^2} V \mathbb{A} K$

Issue:

 The exact relationship of model parameters with physical parameters is not clear.

3.2 3.0 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.2 2.0 0







Jet emission modelling

Cooling zone

Acceleration zone







Analytical model

 The steady state particle distribution • $\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau_{acc}} - \beta_s \gamma^2 \right) n_a \right] + \frac{n_a}{\tau_{esc}} = Q \delta(\gamma - \gamma_0)$ • Power-law with a maximum energy due to radiative cooling (ξ_{max}) model (τ_{acc}) and τ_{esc} energy independent) • Energy dependent diffusion (EDD) model (τ_{esc} energy dependent) • Energy dependent acceleration (EDA) model (τ_{acc} energy dependent)





Comparisons of different models







Particle distribution with a maximum energy (ξ_{max})

- Particle energy $n(\xi) = K\xi^{-p} \left(1 \frac{\xi}{\xi_{max}}\right)^{(p-2)}$
- The combined spectral fit with synconv $\otimes n(\xi)$ model, with free parameter as N, p and ξ_{max}

•
$$\mathbb{N} = \frac{\delta^3(1+z)}{d_L^2} V \mathbb{A} Q_0 \tau_{acc} \gamma_0^{p-1} \mathbb{C}^{p/2}$$

Issue

- The correlation between p and ξ_{max}
- Variation of index p should result in $\Delta/N = log(\xi_0^2)\Delta p/2$, change in index p should result in a significant change in normalisation.

The correlation between model parameters are inconsistent with the



prediction of model.





EDD model:

• Energy dependent of escape time scale τ_{es} • The particle energy distribution $n(\xi) = K'\xi$ $K' = Q_0 \tau_{acc} \sqrt{\mathbb{C}} \exp \left[\frac{\eta_R}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma_R} \right)^{\kappa} \right]$

• The free parameters for the model are ψ , κ

$$_{sc}(\gamma) \quad \tau_{esc} = \tau_{esc,R} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_R}\right)^{-k}$$

$$\int_{\kappa}^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{\psi}{\kappa}\xi^{\kappa}\right] \text{ where } \psi = \eta_R \left(\mathbb{C}\gamma_R^2\right)^{-\kappa/2} = \eta_R \xi_R^{-\kappa}$$

c and
$$\mathbb{N}$$
 given by $\mathbb{N} = \frac{\delta^3(1+z)}{d_L^2} V \mathbb{A} K'$





• $\psi = \eta_R \psi_R^{-\kappa}$, $\log_{10} \psi = -0.38\kappa + 0.42$ • $\eta_R \approx 2.6$ and $\xi_R \approx 2.4$ keV • The observed photon energy corresponding to γ_R , $\xi_R =$ 2.4 keV

EDD model:







• $ln \mathbb{N} = \frac{\eta_R}{\kappa} A^{\kappa} - \kappa \log \xi_R + B$ • From the fit, A= 0.26, B= 1.3 and $\eta_R = 2.6$ The injection energy of the acceleration region, as $\gamma_0 \approx 0.26 \gamma_R$

EDD model:







EDA model:

* Energy dependence of acceleration time

• The particle energy distribution $n(\xi) = \lambda$ $K' = Q_0 \tau_{acc,R} \sqrt{\mathbb{C}} \xi_R^{-\kappa} \exp \left[\frac{\eta_R}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\xi_0}{\xi_R} \right)^{\kappa} \right]$

e-scale
$$\tau_{acc}(\gamma)$$
 $\tau_{acc} = \tau_{acc,R}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_R}\right)^{\kappa}$

$$K'\xi^{\kappa-1}\exp\left[-\frac{\psi}{\kappa}\xi^{\kappa}\right]\psi = \eta_R \left(\mathbb{C}\gamma_R^2\right)^{-\kappa/2} = \eta_R\xi_R^{-\kappa}$$

• The free parameters for the model are ψ , κ and \mathbb{N} given by. $\mathbb{N} = \frac{\delta^3(1+z)}{d_T^2} V \mathbb{A} K'$



EDA model:

• $\psi = \eta_R \psi_R^{-\kappa}$, $\log_{10} \psi = -0.23\kappa + 0.42$ • $\eta_R \approx 2.63$ and $\xi_R \approx 1.70$ keV • The observed photon energy corresponding to γ_R , $\xi_R \approx 1.70$ keV







• $ln\mathbb{N} = \frac{\eta_R}{M}A^{\kappa} - \kappa \log \xi_R + B$ • From the fit, A= 0.19, B= 2.70 and $\eta_R = 2.6$ The injection energy of the acceleration region, as $\gamma_0 \approx 0.19 \gamma_R$

EDA model:









Conclusion and Future work

- the consistency the models.
- The correlation between spectral parameters and the inferred estimates of physical values can be used to constraint the models.
- but in reality the physical situation may be more complex.
- acceleration process to be energy dependent.

• We have shown that Time-resolved spectral analysis is powerful toll to check

• The model contains in this work are simple ones which have analytical solution,

• We will develop more sophisticate model by considering both diffusion and







